

<b>Report to:</b>	<b>PLANNING COMMITTEE</b>
<b>Relevant Officer :</b>	Gary Johnston - Head of Development Management
<b>Date of Meeting:</b>	16 October 2018

## PLANNING APPLICATIONS AND APPEALS PERFORMANCE

### 1.0 Purpose of the report:

1.1 To update members of Planning Committee of the Council's performance in relation to Government targets.

### 2.0 Recommendation(s):

2.1 To note the report.

### 3.0 Reasons for recommendation(s):

3.1 To provide the Committee with a summary of current performance.

3.2a Is the recommendation contrary to a plan or strategy adopted or approved by the Council? No

3.2b Is the recommendation in accordance with the Council's approved budget? No

3.3 Other alternative options to be considered:

None, the report is for information only.

### 4.0 Council Priority:

4.1 The relevant Council Priority is both:

- "The economy: Maximising growth and opportunity across Blackpool"
- "Communities: Creating stronger communities and increasing resilience"

## 5.0 Background Information

5.1 Members of the Planning Committee will be aware that the Government has set targets for the determination of major and minor category planning applications and major and minor category appeals. These are speed and quality of decision targets and are currently –

**Speed of major development decisions** – 60% within 13 weeks or an agreed Extension of Time – for the period October 2016 to September 2018

**Speed of minor development decisions** – 70% within 8 weeks or an agreed Extension of Time – for the period October 2016 to September 2018

**Quality of major development decisions** – Loss of more than 10% of appeals – for the period April 2016 – March 2018

**Quality of minor development decisions** – Loss of more than 10% of appeals – for the period April 2016 – March 2018

5.2 Figures are submitted quarterly to the Ministry of Communities and Local Government. Performance for September 2018 is shown below as is performance for the second quarter – July to September 2018.

5.3 The last annual performance figures for applications (2017-2018) was –

**Majors 96% within 13 weeks or an agreed extension of time (target 60%)**  
**Minors 97% within 8 weeks or an agreed extension of time (target 70%)**

5.4 In terms of the assessment period (October 2016 – September 2018) performance at the end of September 2018 for the full two year period was –

**Majors 91% within 13 weeks or an agreed extension of time (target 60%)**  
**Minors 92% within 8 weeks or an agreed extension of time (target 70%)**

5.5 In terms of appeals for the period April 2016 – March 2018 –

**There were 28 decisions of which six non major appeals were lost (21% of the total)**  
**NB There were no major appeal decisions**

	<b>Government Target</b>	<b>Performance September 2018</b>	<b>Performance July - September 2018</b>
<b>Major development decisions</b>	>60%	No major application decisions this month	100%
<b>Minor development decisions</b>	>70%	91%	96%
<b>Quality of major development decisions</b>	<10%	None	None
<b>Quality of non major development decisions</b>	<10%	One appeal decision in September – appeal dismissed	Two appeal decisions in the quarter – both dismissed

Does the information submitted include any exempt information? No

**5.6 List of Appendices**

5.6.1 None

**6.0 Legal considerations:**

6.1 None

**7.0 Human Resources considerations:**

7.1 Performance is influenced by staffing numbers, sickness and leave

**8.0 Equalities considerations:**

8.1 None

**9.0 Financial considerations:**

9.1 Poor performance puts the Council at risk of designation and the potential for loss of fee income.

**10.0 Risk management considerations:**

10.1 Under resourcing the service could lead to inability to respond to peaks in workload.

**11.0 Ethical considerations:**

11.1 None

**12.0 Internal/ External Consultation undertaken:**

12.1 Not applicable

**13.0 Background Papers**

13.1 None